

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/532,331	BOLIBUCK ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
EBENEZER SACKY	1624	

**All Participants:**

(1) EBENEZER SACKY.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) MARK MILSTEAD.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 May 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*NONE*

Claims discussed:

*all pending claims*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner pointed out to applicants attorney, Mark Milstead, that there were a few typographical errors in the claims that need to be corrected for the case to be allowed. Thus, a supplemental amendment will be filed by applicants.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/E. S./  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)